

Patrick H. Merrick, Esq.
Director – Regulatory Affairs
AT&T Federal Government Affairs

Suite 1000 1120 20th Street NW Washington DC 20036 202 457 3815 FAX 202 457 3110

April 13, 2004

## **Via Electronic Filing**

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Presentation: CC Docket Nos. 01-92 and 96-262.

Dear Ms. Dortch;

Yesterday, April 12, 2004 David Lawson of Sidley Austin Brown and Wood and I met with William Maher, Jeffrey Carlisle, Tamara Preiss, Scott Morris, Victoria Schlesinger, Margaret Dailey and Robert Tanner to discuss the above mentioned petition. AT&T again urged the FCC to clarify the CLEC Access Order and to deny the US LEC petition US LEC simply seeks the ability to impose additional access charges on interexchange carriers, when in fact, the CLEC provides no access service or functionality. Where a CLEC simply inserts itself between the CMRS provider and the ILEC tandem, it provides no genuine access function and should not be permitted to charge the interexchange carrier access. In the situation where a CLEC actually replaces the ILEC in providing the tandem switching or other genuine access functions, the CLEC should only be permitted to charge the ILEC rate for the access functions that are actually being performed by the CLEC, not the full CLEC benchmark rate as requested by US LEC.

The positions expressed by AT&T were consistent with those expressed in their previous filings in the above referenced proceedings.

Consistent with the Commission rules, I am filing one electronic copy of this notice and request that you place it in the record of the proceedings.

Sincerely,

cc: William Maher

Jeffrey Carlisle Tamara Preiss Scott Morris Victoria Schlesinger Margaret Dailey Robert Tanner